



[COSADE 2017] Efficient Conversion Method from Arithmetic to Boolean Masking in Constrained Devices

Yoo-Seung Won¹ and Dong-Guk Han^{1,2} ¹Department of Financial Information Security, Kookmin University, Seoul, Korea ²Department of Mathematics, Kookmin University, Seoul, Korea

Apr.13.2017

Yoo-Seung Won









- ***** Software Countermeasure against side channel analysis
 - Boolean Masking
 - ✓ Easily compatible
 - ✓ Low cost



※ SPN : Substitution-Permutation Network

Motivation

- ***** When Boolean Masking countermeasure is applied to block cipher based on **SPN structure**
 - > Nonlinear operation consumes heavily cost to construct countermeasure





X ARX : Addition-Rotation-Xor

- ***** When Boolean Masking countermeasure is applied to block cipher based on ARX structure
 - > The bit size of addition : generally 32 or 64 bit
 - ✓ Totally tablization is impossible because of too large



※ BtoA : Boolean to Arithmetic Masking AtoB : Arithmetic to Boolean Masking





History *

Scheme	First-Order Countern	neasure	Complexity
BtoA & AtoB	[CHES 2001]	Goubin Method	0(1) & 0(k)
AtoB	[CHES 2003]	CT Method	Lookup Table (Tablization)
AtoB	[CHES 2004]	NP Method	Lookup Table (Tablization)
AtoB	[CHES 2012]	Debraize Method	Lookup Table (Tablization)
AtoB	[COSADE 2014]	KRJ Method	0(<i>k</i>)
AtoB	[*] [FSE 2015]	CGTV Method	O (log k)

[*] [FSE 2015] Jean-Sébastien Coron, Johann Großschädl, Mehdi Tibouchi, Praveen Kumar Vadnala : Conversion from Arithmetic to Boolean Masking with Logarithmic Complexity

<u>*** CGTV method is based on the principle of Kogge-Stone Adder</u></u></u>**

* Kogge-Stone Adder is dependent on the size of addition bit









[FSE 2015] CGTV method

※ Notation
The size of register bit : *l* bit
The size of arithmetic operation bit : *k* bit

* [FSE 2015] CGTV method is based on Kogge-Stone Adder

Algorithm 1 Kogge-Stone Adder

```
Input: x, y \in \{0, 1\}^k, n_k = \max(\lceil \log (k-1) \rceil, 1)

Output: z = x + y \mod 2^k

1: P \leftarrow x \oplus y

2: G \leftarrow x \wedge y

3: for i := 1 to n_k - 1 do

4: G \leftarrow (P \wedge (G \ll 2^{i-1})) \oplus G

5: P \leftarrow P \wedge (P \ll 2^{i-1})

6: end for

7: G \leftarrow (P \wedge (G \ll 2^{n-1})) \oplus G

8: return x \oplus y \oplus (2G)
```

	2. [FS	SE 201	5]							Ind Implementations 5. Conclusion Side Chennel Anelysis Design Academy
■ [FSE (FSI	2015 E 2015	5] C	GTV	V me	etho is bas	d : I ed on	Limi Kogg	tatio)n ne Add	
≻ k	z = 8, l	2 = 4								Algorithm 1 Kogge-Stone Adder
Notation			Arı	ray 1		1	Arr	ay 0		Input: $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^k$, $n_k = \max(\lceil \log (k-1) \rceil, 1)$ Output: $z = x + y \mod 2^k$ 1: $P \leftarrow x \oplus y$ 2: $G \leftarrow x \wedge y$ 3: for $i := 1$ to $n_k - 1$ do 4: $G \leftarrow (P \wedge (G \ll 2^{i-1})) \oplus G$ 5: $P \leftarrow P \wedge (P \ll 2^{i-1})$ 6: end for
$x_{(1)} x_{(0)} $)	<i>x</i> ⁽⁷⁾	x ⁽⁶⁾	x ⁽⁵⁾	<i>x</i> ⁽⁴⁾	<i>x</i> ⁽³⁾	x ⁽²⁾	x ⁽¹⁾	<i>x</i> ⁽⁰⁾	7: $G \leftarrow (P \land (G \ll 2^{n-1})) \oplus G$ 8: return $x \oplus y \oplus (2G)$
+ $y_{(1)} \ y_{(0)}$)	y ⁽⁷⁾	y ⁽⁶⁾	<i>y</i> ⁽⁵⁾	y ⁽⁴⁾	y ⁽³⁾	y ⁽²⁾	<i>y</i> ⁽¹⁾	y ⁽⁰⁾	Using array concept
$z_{(1)} \ z_{(0)}$)	<i>z</i> ⁽⁷⁾	<i>z</i> ⁽⁶⁾	<i>z</i> ⁽⁵⁾	<i>z</i> ⁽⁴⁾	z ⁽³⁾	<i>z</i> ⁽²⁾	<i>z</i> ⁽¹⁾	Z ⁽⁰⁾	Algorithm 4 Generic Variant for Kogge-Stone Adder Input: $x = (x_{(m-1)} \cdots x_{(0)}), y = (y_{(m-1)} \cdots y_{(0)})$ $n = \max(\lceil \log (k-1) \rceil, 1)$ Output: $z = (z_{(m-1)} \cdots z_{(0)}) = x + y \mod 2^k$ 1: $(p_{(m-1)} \cdots p_{(0)}) \leftarrow (x_{(m-1)} \cdots x_{(0)}) \oplus (y_{(m-1)} \cdots y_{(0)})$
		8-bi	it Gene	eric Va	riant K	Cogge-	Stone A	Adder		$\begin{array}{l} 2: \left(g_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ g_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \left(x_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ x_{(0)}\right) \wedge \left(y_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ y_{(0)}\right) \\ 3: \text{ for } i := 1 \text{ to } n-1 \text{ do} \\ 4: \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \text{Shift}[g, 2^{i-1}] \\ 5: \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \left(p_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \wedge \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \\ 6: \left(g_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ g_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \oplus \left(g_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ g_{(0)}\right) \\ 7: \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \text{Shift}[p, 2^{i-1}] \\ 8: \left(p_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \left(p_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ p_{(0)}\right) \wedge \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \\ 9: \text{ end for} \\ 10: \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ h_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \text{Shift}[g, 2^{n-1}] \\ 11: \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ g_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \left(p_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ g_{(0)}\right) \wedge \left(h_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ g_{(0)}\right) \\ 12: \left(g_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ g_{(0)}\right) \leftarrow \text{Shift}[p, 2^{n-1}] \\ 14: \text{ return } \left(x_{(m-1)} \oplus y_{(m-1)} \oplus h_{(m-1)} \ \ \cdots \ x_{(0)} \oplus y_{(0)} \oplus h_{(0)}\right) \end{array}$











- Using array concept
- High cost consumes because of secure operations
 - ✓ The size of operation unit : the size of arithmetic operation bit

- Low cost consumes when using secure operations
 - ✓ The size of operation unit : the size of register bit
- Easily control the carry bit



Our Contributions – Generic Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion

FSE 2015] CGTV method

Basic operation : Secure Shift, Secure And, Secure Xor

Algorithm 5 Kogge-Stone Arithmetic to Boolean Conversion **Input:** $A, r \in \{0, 1\}^k$ and $n_k = \max(\lceil \log (k - 1) \rceil, 1)$ **Output:** x' such that $x' \oplus r = A + r \mod 2^k$ 1: Let $s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^k$, $t \leftarrow \{0,1\}^k$, $u \leftarrow \{0,1\}^k$ 2: $P' \leftarrow A \oplus s$ 3: $P' \leftarrow P' \oplus s$ 4: $G' \leftarrow s \oplus ((A \oplus t) \land r)$ 5: $G' \leftarrow G' \oplus (t \wedge r)$ 6: for i := 1 to n - 1 do 7: $H \leftarrow \mathbf{SecShift}(G', s, t, 2^{i-1})$ 8: $U \leftarrow \mathbf{SecAnd}(P', H, s, t, u)$ 9: $G' \leftarrow \mathbf{SecXor}(G', U, u)$ 10: $H \leftarrow \mathbf{SecShift}(P', s, t, 2^{i-1})$ 11: $P' \leftarrow \mathbf{SecAnd}(P', H, s, t, u)$ 12: $P' \leftarrow P' \oplus s$ 13: $P' \leftarrow P' \oplus u$ 14: end for 15: $H \leftarrow \mathbf{SecShift}(G', s, t, 2^{n-1})$ 16: $U \leftarrow \mathbf{SecAnd}(P', H, s, t, u)$ 17: $G' \leftarrow \mathbf{SecXor}(G', U, u)$ 18: $x' \leftarrow A \oplus 2G'$ 19: $x' \leftarrow x' \oplus 2s$ 20: return x'



Our Contributions – Underlying Concept



8-bit Generic Variant Kogge-Stone Adder



Enhanced Variant Algorithm





Our Contributions – Underlying Concept [*m* = 2]



8-bit Generic Variant Kogge-Stone Adder



Our Contributions – Pseudo Code for Enhanced AtoB Conversion





Our Contributions – Pseudo Code for Enhanced AtoB Conversion







. Our Contributions

Analysis and Implementations : Comparison (1)

Side Channel Analysis Design A

※ Notation

k: the size of arithmetic operation bit

l: the size of the register bit

m: the number of blocks

 n_{α} : max([log(α - 1)], 1) (α = k or l)

Algorithm	Dand	Computational Complexity							
Algorithm	Kanu	Xor	And	Shift					
[FSE 2015]	3k	$20mn_k - m - 4n_k$	8mn _k — 2m	$8mn_k - 4n_k$					
Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion	41	$16mn_l + 5m + 16n_l - 1$	$8mn_l - m + 8n_l - 4$	$4mn_l + 7m + 4n_l - 5$					
Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion $(m = 2)$	41	$16mn_l + 3m + 20$	$8mn_l - 2m + 7$	$4mn_l + 3m + 9$					

. Our Contributions

※ Notation

Analysis and Implementations : Comparison (1)

k: the size of arithmetic operation bit

Side Chennel Anelysis I

l: the size of the register bit

m: the number of blocks

 n_{α} : max([log(α - 1)], 1) (α = k or l)

Algorithm	Dand	Computational Complexity							
Algorithm	Kanu	Xor	And	Shift					
[FSE 2015]	3 <i>k</i>	$20mn_k - m - 4n_k$	$8mn_k - 2m$	$8mn_k - 4n_k$					
Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion	41	$16mn_l + 5m + 16n_l - 1$	$8mn_l - m + 8n_l - 4$	$4mn_l + 7m + 4n_l - 5$					
Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion $(m = 2)$	41	$16mn_l + 3m + 20$	$8mn_l - 2m + 7$	$4mn_l + 3m + 9$					



Analysis and Implementations : in the simulated AVR, MSP, ARM boards

Algorithm	l	k	Clock Cycle	Penalty Factor
[FSE 2015]	8	64	2,864	1.00
Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion	8	64	1,217	0.42

※ Simulation Program : AVR Studio 6.2

[FSE 2015]	16	64	2,705	1.00
Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion	16	64	765	0.28

※ Simulation Program : IAR Embedded Workbench Evaluation

[FSE 2015]	32	64	1,196	1.00
Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion $(m = 2)$	32	64	384	0.32

※ Simulation Program : ARM Developer Suite v1.2



Analysis and Implementations : Application to First-Order Masked SPECK

Algorithm	l	k	Clock Cycle	Penalty Factor
Non-masked SPECK	8	64	24,360	1.00
Masked SPECK with [FSE 2015]	8	64	177,303	7.27
Masked SPECK with Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion	8	64	112,951	4.64
Non-masked SPECK	16	64	21,446	1.00
Masked SPECK with [FSE 2015]	16	64	143,642	6.70
Masked SPECK with Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion	16	64	81,562	3.80
Non-masked SPECK	32	64	10,279	1.00
Masked SPECK with [FSE 2015]	32	64	71,006	6.91
Masked SPECK with Enhanced Kogge-Stone AtoB Conversion ($m = 2$)	32	64	44,936	4.37









- ***** Our solution applies to directly low-resource device
 - > Suitable to IoT device
- **implementation performance increases approximately 58~72% over the original algorithm results**
 - ▶ When applied to SPECK, 36~43% improvements
- ***** Extension to higher-order AtoB masking scheme and arithmetic operation without conversion





Q&A: mathwys87@kookmin.ac.kr

Side Channel Analysis Design Academy (SICADA)

Apr.13.2017 [COSADE 2017] Efficient Conversion Method from AtoB Masking in Constrained Devices